

Use of site-won soils for reinforced soil slopes and walls

Author: Matteo Lelli, Maccaferri - ISEAP Region

GEOANZ #1 ADVANCES IN GEOSYNTHETICS 7-9 JUNE 2022 | BRISBANE CONVENTION & EXHIBITION CENTRE

An increasing challenge in building RSS

Selected fills are expensive to quarry and to transport to site

Increasing **scarcity** of granular fills

Raising environmental concerns

Excavated soils are expensive to be **disposed**











Structural fill requirements for RS – BS8006-1

ADVANCES IN GEOSYNTHETICS 7–9 JUNE 2022 | BRISBANE CONVENTION & EXHIBITION CENTRE

7–9 JUNE 2022 | BRISBANE CONVENTION & EXHIBITION CENTRE

GFNΔ

Table 2 Summary references to the relevant component of the main materials within reinforced soil walls, abutments and slopes

	Walls and abutments	<u>Steep slopes</u> (≤ 70, > 45°)	Shallow slopes (≤ 45°)	Foundations	Comments			UK Highway Series
Fill materials			-	-			E	Earthworks specific
Fill materials Class or type Class or type Permitted constituents Grading Shear strength (φ) test Friction (μ) test Compaction	Selected granular fills (Classes 6I/J), Selected cohesive fills (Classes 7B/C/D) and Chalk (see Note 3)	Selected granular fills (Classes 6//J), Selected cohesive fills (Classes 7B/C/D), General granular fill (Class 1), General cohesive fill (Class 2)(see Note 4) and Chalk (see Note 3)	Selected granular fills (Classes 6IIJ), Selected cohesive fills (Classes 7B/CID), General granular fill (Class 1), General cohesive fill (Class 2), and Chalk (see Note 3)	M Selected granular fills as specified in SHW M	Fill classes as SHW Table 6/1. Class 7B not permitted for steel reinforcing elements. Specific drainage measures may be required with cohesive fills. See also 3.1.3.2 and 3.1.3.3 .	Table 8 Classification of earthworks materials in the l		
						Туре	Class	s Description
						General granular	1A	Well graded granular material
						fill	1B	Uniformly graded granular material
							1C	Coarse granular material
						General cohesive	2A	Wet cohesive material
						fill	2B	Dry cohesive material
							2C	Stony cohesive material
							2D	Silty cohesive material
Parmittad	SHW Table 6/1			SHW/Tabla 6/1			2E	Reclaimed pfa cohesive material
constituents		SHW TADIE OF	SHW Table off	SHW TADIE OF		General chalk fill	3	Chalk
Grading	SHW Table 6/1	SHW Table 6/1	SHW Table 6/1	SHW Table 6/1	Grading not applicable to chalk	Landscape fill	4	Various
						Topsoil fill	5A	Topsoil or turf existing on site
Shear strength (φ) test	BS 8006-1 4.2.1	BS 8006-1 4.2.1	BS 8006-1 4.2.1	BS 8006-1 4.2.1	SHW, cl 636		5B	Imported topsoil
Friction (µ) test	BS 8006-1 4.3.3	BS 8006-1 4.3.3	BS 8006-1 4.3.3	BS 8006-1 4.3.3	SHW, cl 639			
Compaction	SHW Table 6/1 and Table 6/4	SHW Table 6/1 and Table 6/4	SHW Table 6/1 and Table 6/4	SHW Table 6/1 and Table 6/4				

600 cation

by the Highways Agency

Typical use General fill

General fill

General fill Fill for landscape Topsoiling

Advantages





Reduced environmental impact









Availability

Site-won fills



Challenges















Draining geogrids



- Increase the rate of consolidation of a fill material by dissipating excess pore water pressures created during compaction while reinforcing the structural fill
- Increase effective soil shear strength during construction
- Improved bond strength between soil and reinforcement
- Better control being achieved over settlements and deflections
- No need to treat the marginal fill with lime or cement to increase its properties
- Increase productivity (construction time & compaction)



Draining Geogrids used with wide range of soils

	φ (deg)	Cv (m2/NM)	mv (m2/year)	PI (%)	γ at OMC (kN/m3)	OMC (%)	%<63 μm
Bell Green, London	24	12	0.2	-	16.4-20.1	-	5-18
North Gawber, Yorkshire	25	8	0.2	21	13.3-17.4	13-35	4-82
Island Rd, Berkshire	25	2.5-16	0.2-0.4	19-32	18.8	-	-
Millbrook, London	26	7	0.2	40-58	16.3-16.9	20.5-22.4	42-56
Palmerston Park, Devon	28	57-73	0.1	10-15	22	-	9-44
Banbury Bund, Oxfordshire	24	5-40	0.3-0.5	-	16.2	22.3	-
Jenkins Lane, London	24	12	0.5	27	14.6-17.7	15-20	0-87
NBAR, Bexhill on Sea	25.5	12	0.5	23	17.4	-	-
Queensway, Hastings	26	-	-	20	19	-	-



Design considerations

1. Permeability of the soil

It governs the time required to dissipate the excess pore water pressure.

2. Length of the drainage path

i.e the distance the water needs to travel to reach the drainage.

3. Capacity of the drainage system

i.e. the transmissivity of the geogrid θ_{L} to drain the water away

$$k = \gamma_w C_V m_v$$



$$\theta_{req} = \frac{10 B^2 k}{H \sqrt{T_o}} = \frac{5 B^2 k}{\sqrt{C_V t_o}} \quad \text{if } 1 \times 10^{-6} \le T_o \le 1$$

$$\theta_{req} = \frac{10 B^2 k}{H T_o} = \frac{5 B^2 k H}{2 C_V t_o} \text{ if } T_o \ge 1$$





ParaDrain[®] Canada, Large Industrial Facility









ParaDrain® Canada, Large Industrial Facility GEOANZ #1 ADVANCES IN GEOSYNTHETICS 7-9 JUNE 2022 | BRISBANE CONVENTION & EXHIBITION CENTRE



ICE South East England Engineering Excellence Awards

ParaDrain[®] UK, Bexhill On Sea – Highway Embankment







ParaDrain[®] UK, Bexhill On Sea Phase 1, 2016 – Highway Embankment

MANTINE AUTO





UK, Devon – Housing Development





18m



UK, Devon – Housing Development





ParaDrain[®] UK, Devon – Housing Development



Conclusions

- **Granular fills** are the preferred choice for building reinforced soil slopes
- Cohesive fills can be used provided that adequate reinforcement, drainage, and construction practices are used
- Draining geogrids have been used for more than 2 decades
- Draining geogrids can be used to speed up dissipation of excess pore pressure during construction stage
- **Design methods** are available in literature and consider:
 - Strength and consolidation properties of the fill
 - Length of the drainage path
 - Discharge capacity of the draining geogrids



THANK YOU

